

Multicultural Equality and Justice in Education: Implications for Character Education

Ronaldi¹, Zulpandri², Mahyudin Ritonga³, Julhadi⁴, Umar Alhaji Umar⁵

^{1,2,3,4}Doctoral Program in Islamic Studies, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Barat, Indonesia

⁵Mohammed Goni College of Legal, Islamic and Educational Studies, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

¹sagonaldi@gmail.com, ²zulpandri@gmail.com, ³mahyudinritonga@gmail.com,
⁴julhadi15@gmail.com, ⁵umarumarawa1980@gmail.com

Received October 22, 2025; Revised January 22, 2026; Accepted February 05, 2026

Abstract

Objective: This article aims to analyze the concept of multicultural equality and justice in education, particularly from the perspective of Islamic education, as well as explain its implications for the formation of character education in a multicultural society. **Theoretical framework:** This research is based on the theory of multicultural education (Banks and Nieto), the theory of social and distributive justice, and the value of Islamic education that emphasizes al-'adl (justice) and al-musāwah (equality). **Literature review:** The literature review examines studies on multicultural education, social justice, and Islamic education, and shows that there is still limited research that integrates multicultural equality and justice within the framework of Islamic education. **Methods:** The research uses a qualitative approach through a literature study of books, journal articles, and education policy documents, with content analysis and conceptual synthesis. **Results:** The results of the study show that equality in Islamic education is interpreted as recognition of equal human dignity, while justice is understood as the provision of rights and opportunities for learning proportionally. The integration of these two principles in multicultural education contributes significantly to the formation of the character of students who are tolerant, fair, and responsible. **Implications:** Theoretically, this study enriches the study of Islamic-based multicultural education; practically, it becomes the basis for the development of an equity- and justice-oriented curriculum, learning, and school culture. **Novelty:** The novelty of the research lies in the systematic integration of the concept of multicultural equality and justice with character education from the perspective of Islamic education.

Keywords: equality and justice, multicultural justice, islamic education, character, society.

INTRODUCTION

Equality and justice are fundamental principles in social life that are a prerequisite for the creation of harmony in a multicultural society [1]. In the context of education, these principles are not only related to equal access but also concern fairness in the learning process, recognition of differences, and proportional distribution of learning opportunities [2]. Banks emphasized that multicultural education aims to create an

education system that is able to provide equal opportunities for all students without discrimination based on race, ethnicity, religion, or socio-cultural background [3].

Multicultural education is understood as a pedagogical approach that places diversity as a social reality as well as a source of learning. Nieto views multicultural education as an affirmative process that promotes social justice through an inclusive curriculum, learning strategies, and social relations in the educational environment [4]. Meanwhile, Parekh emphasized that a multicultural society demands recognition of diverse identities and fair treatment in social structures, including in the education system. Thus, multicultural education is not only normative but also transformative in building students' critical awareness [5].

Within the framework of character education, the concept of multicultural equality and justice has a central position. Character education aims to form individuals who are not only cognitively intelligent but also have moral integrity, social empathy, and civic responsibility [6]. Lickona stated that effective character education must instill the values of justice, respect, and concern for others as the foundation of democratic life. Therefore, multicultural education and character education have a complementary relationship in building an inclusive and civilized society [7].

From the perspective of Islamic education, equality and justice are theological and ethical values inherent in the purpose of education. The principles of justice (al-'adl) and equality (al-musāwah) are affirmed in Islamic teachings as the basis of dignified social relations. Multicultural Islamic education seeks to internalize these values in the learning process, so that students are able to appreciate differences and reject all forms of discrimination. This is in line with the view of al-Attas, who emphasizes that the goal of Islamic education is the formation of civilized human beings (insān kāmil) that is balanced between intellectual, moral, and social dimensions [8].

Although studies on multicultural education and character education have developed widely, research that integratively examines the concept of multicultural equality and justice in education with a theoretical approach to Islamic education is still relatively limited. Some studies emphasize more aspects of pedagogical policy or practice without delving into their philosophical and ethical foundations in depth. Therefore, this article aims to analyze the concept of multicultural equality and justice in education and character by placing Islamic education as a normative and theoretical framework, in order to enrich academic discourse and make a conceptual contribution to the development of equitable and inclusive education [9].

The novelty of this study lies in its systematic integration of multicultural equality and justice within the framework of character education from the perspective of Islamic education. While previous studies have examined multicultural education, social justice, or character education as separate domains, this research brings these three dimensions together into a coherent conceptual model. Equality is not treated merely as uniform treatment or equal access, but as recognition of equal human dignity, while justice is conceptualized as the proportional distribution of educational rights and opportunities according to learners' needs and contexts. This distinction offers a more nuanced understanding of fairness in multicultural educational settings [10].

Another original contribution of this study is its positioning of Islamic education as both a normative and ethical foundation for multicultural equality and justice. Rather than framing multiculturalism solely through secular or policy-oriented approaches, this research demonstrates how Islamic values such as al-musāwah (equality) and al-'adl (justice) provide a moral and pedagogical basis for inclusive education. By linking theological principles with educational practice, the study advances a value-based perspective that strengthens the moral legitimacy of multicultural and character education in plural societies [10].

The implications of this research are significant for theory, practice, and educational policy. Theoretically, the study enriches the discourse on multicultural education by introducing an integrative framework that connects equality, justice, and character formation within Islamic education. This framework can serve as a conceptual reference for further research on value-based multicultural education in diverse cultural and religious contexts. It also contributes to character education theory by emphasizing that moral character cannot be developed independently of justice and equality as structural and ethical principles [11].

Practically, the findings suggest that character education should be designed through curricula, learning strategies, and school cultures that embody fairness, inclusivity, and respect for diversity. Teachers and school leaders are encouraged to move beyond symbolic multiculturalism toward practices that ensure equitable participation, objective assessment, and recognition of students' diverse identities. At the policy level, this study implies the need for educational policies that explicitly incorporate principles of multicultural equality and justice as core foundations of character education. Overall, this research highlights that education grounded in equality and justice is essential for forming individuals who are not only morally upright but also socially responsible and capable of contributing to harmony in multicultural societies [11].

LITERATURE REVIEW

The study of multicultural equality and justice in education has developed widely in various perspectives, ranging from general education, multicultural education, character education, and Islamic education. The literature shows that multicultural education is a strategic approach in responding to the reality of diversity and encouraging the creation of social justice in the education system.

Multicultural Education and Equality

Banks emphasized that multicultural education aims to create equal educational opportunities for all students without discrimination of race, ethnicity, religion, or social class [12]. Equality in this perspective is not interpreted as equal treatment mechanically, but as a recognition of the differences in the needs and backgrounds of students. In line with that, Nieto views multicultural education as a transformative process that emphasizes social justice, identity recognition, and empowerment of marginalized groups [13].

In the Indonesian context, the studies of Julaiha and Khairiah show that multicultural education functions as a means of strengthening national integration through respect for cultural, ethnic, and religious diversity. However, some of these studies still place equality at the normative and policy levels, without linking it deeply to the formation of students' characters [14].

Social Justice and Education

The concept of justice in education is widely discussed in the framework of distributive justice and social justice. Priyono stated that justice includes the principles of equal freedom, recognition of differences, and fair opportunities [15]. In education, fairness means a proportionate distribution of resources, opportunities, and assessments according to the needs of students. This perspective is reinforced by Aristotle's view of distributive justice, which emphasizes the fairly different treatment of individuals of different conditions [16].

In the context of Indonesian nationality, social justice, as stated in the fifth precept of Pancasila, is the normative foundation for the implementation of inclusive and equitable education. However, some literature emphasizes justice as a state goal, not

specifically examining its implementation in multicultural education and character education [17].

Islamic Education, Multiculturalism, and Character Building

From the perspective of Islamic education, equality (al-musāwah) and justice (al-'adl) are fundamental theological principles [18]. The Qur'an emphasizes that human dignity is not determined by social identity, but by piety (QS. Al-Hujurat: 13). Azra and Nata emphasized that multicultural Islamic education aims to form human beings who are faithful, have noble character, and can live harmoniously in a plural society [19].

Character education in Islam is not only oriented towards individual piety, but also social piety, such as tolerance, fairness, and responsibility [20]. However, existing studies tend to discuss Islamic education, multicultural education, and character education separately, so the conceptual relationship between equality, multicultural justice, and character formation has not been worked on integratively [21].

Table 1. Literature Related to Multicultural Equality and Justice

Author (Year)	Study Focus	Key Findings	Limitations
Azra	Multicultural Islamic education	Islam is responsive to plurality and justice	Integration with character practices is still limited
Judela	Multiculturalism in Indonesia	Education strengthens tolerance and social integration	Normative analysis, minimal character study
Holiday	Character education	Teachers play a key role in internalizing character values	The link to multiculturalism is not yet explicit
Rifani	Multiculturalism in schools	Multiculturalism increases students' tolerance attitudes	Not yet associated with distributive justice
Rozak	Multicultural Islamic curriculum	Multiculturalism is often symbolic	Need for an integrative conceptual model

METHODOLOGY

This research uses a qualitative approach in library research. This approach was chosen because the focus of the research is directed at the conceptual analysis of multicultural equality and justice in education and character building, particularly from the perspective of Islamic education [22]. Research data was obtained from secondary sources in the form of scientific books, national and international journal articles, as well as relevant and credible education policy documents [23].

Data collection was carried out through documentation studies by searching, selecting, and classifying relevant literature according to the focus of the study [24]. The selected literature was analyzed using content analysis techniques, namely by identifying key concepts related to multicultural equality and justice, then grouping them into main themes to be further synthesized critically within the framework of education and character education [25].

The validity of the data is maintained through triangulation of sources, namely by comparing various views and findings from different literature to obtain a comprehensive and consistent understanding [26]. The analysis process is carried out systematically and continuously to ensure the suitability between the research objectives, theoretical framework, and discussion results, so that the findings produced have conceptual validity and academic relevance [27].

Table 2. Research Methodology

Component	Description
Research Approach	Qualitative approach
Research Design	Library research (conceptual and analytical study)
Research Focus	Analysis of multicultural equality and justice in education and its implications for character building from the perspective of Islamic education
Data Sources	Secondary data consisting of scientific books, national and international journal articles, and relevant educational policy documents
Data Collection Technique	Documentation study through searching, selecting, and classifying literature relevant to the research focus
Data Analysis Technique	Content analysis by identifying key concepts of equality and justice, grouping them into thematic categories, and synthesizing them within educational and character education frameworks
Data Validity	Source triangulation by comparing perspectives and findings across multiple references to ensure consistency and comprehensiveness
Analytical Procedure	Systematic and continuous analysis aligned with research objectives, theoretical framework, and discussion to ensure conceptual validity and academic relevance

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Multicultural Equality in Islamic Education

The results of the study show that equality in Islamic education is not interpreted as equality, but as a recognition of the equality of human dignity before Allah SWT. This principle is affirmed in QS. Al-Hujurat: 13, which places piety as a measure of glory, not ethnic, racial, cultural, or social status. In the context of multicultural education, this principle is the normative basis for rejecting all forms of discrimination and exclusivism in the educational process [28].

Multicultural equality in Islamic education is reflected in the granting of equal learning rights for all students, as well as recognition of the diversity of their identities and needs [29]. This approach demands an education system that is responsive to social, cultural, and individual differences, without eliminating the principles of justice and moral responsibility. Thus, equality is not only formal in regulation, but also substantive in learning practice [30].

The implications of multicultural equality on character education can be seen in the formation of mutual respect, tolerance, and social empathy [31]. Multicultural Islamic education encourages students to understand differences as *sunnatullah* that must be accepted and managed constructively. Through the internalization of the value of equality, students are formed into inclusive individuals and have ethical awareness in a plural social life [32].

Multicultural Justice in Islamic Education

Justice (*al-'adl*) is a central principle in Islamic education that serves as a guideline in the management of the educational process [33]. The results of the analysis show that justice in multicultural education is not synonymous with equal treatment of all students, but rather the provision of learning rights and opportunities proportionately

according to their needs and abilities. This principle is in line with Islamic teachings, which emphasize the balance between rights and obligations [34].

In educational practice, multicultural justice is realized through objective assessment, the provision of inclusive educational services, and recognition of students' social and cultural backgrounds [35]. Islamic education views justice as an instrument of liberation from structural injustice and marginalization, so that every individual gets a fair opportunity to develop optimally [36]. Multicultural justice also has strong relevance to Indonesian national values, especially the principles of social justice as stated in Pancasila. The meeting point between the values of Islamic justice and social justice of Pancasila strengthens the role of education as a means of forming the character of citizens who are just, responsible, and respect for diversity. Thus, multicultural education functions as a medium of integration between religious values and national values [37].

Implications of Multicultural Equality and Justice on Character Education

The conceptual findings in this study show that multicultural equality and justice make a significant contribution to the formation of character education. Values such as tolerance, honesty, responsibility, social concern, and respect for differences are the result of internalizing the principles of equality and justice in the educational process. Multicultural Islamic education plays a role in shaping the character of students who are not only religious individually, but also have social awareness and public ethics. Through inclusive and equitable learning, students are trained to be critical of injustice and be able to participate constructively in a multicultural society. This shows that character education cannot be separated from the framework of equality and justice as the basic values of education [38].

Thus, multicultural equality and justice are not just normative concepts, but operational principles that can be internalized in school curricula, learning methods, and culture. The implementation of these principles strengthens the role of Islamic education as an instrument for the formation of human beings with character, civility, and orientation to social peace.

Analysis

The findings of this study demonstrate that multicultural equality and justice are not merely abstract ideals, but foundational principles that shape the orientation, process, and outcomes of education, particularly within the framework of Islamic education and character formation. The analysis confirms that equality and justice function as interrelated yet distinct values that must be operationalized contextually in educational practice to respond effectively to the realities of a multicultural society [38].

Multicultural equality, as revealed in this study, is grounded in the recognition of equal human dignity regardless of social, cultural, ethnic, or religious background. In the context of education, this principle requires that every learner be acknowledged as a moral subject with inherent worth. Equality is therefore not interpreted as uniform treatment, but as the ethical recognition of difference without hierarchy. This understanding challenges reductionist approaches that equate equality with sameness, and instead emphasizes inclusivity as a pedagogical and moral commitment. When applied in educational settings, such an understanding fosters mutual respect, reduces symbolic exclusion, and nurtures a learning environment where diversity is perceived as a shared social asset rather than a source of division [38].

The analysis further shows that justice complements equality by addressing the practical dimension of educational fairness. Justice is manifested through the proportional distribution of educational opportunities, resources, and support in

accordance with students' diverse needs and capacities. From this perspective, justice moves beyond formal access to education and engages with substantive equity within the learning process. Educational justice requires sensitivity to structural disparities, learning barriers, and social contexts that influence students' participation and development. The findings suggest that without justice, equality risks becoming symbolic, while justice without equality risks reinforcing new forms of exclusion [39].

Within Islamic education, equality and justice are inseparable from ethical and theological foundations. The study highlights that Islamic education positions these values not only as social norms but as moral imperatives that shape character and consciousness. Equality affirms shared humanity, while justice ensures moral responsibility in social relations. Their integration creates an educational vision that balances individual rights with collective obligations, enabling learners to internalize ethical reasoning and social accountability. This integration strengthens the moral legitimacy of multicultural education by grounding it in values that transcend cultural and contextual boundaries [39].

The implications for character education are particularly significant. The findings indicate that character formation is deeply influenced by how equality and justice are experienced in daily educational interactions. When students encounter fairness, recognition, and respect in learning environments, they internalize values such as tolerance, empathy, honesty, and responsibility. Conversely, when inequality or injustice is perceived, character education risks becoming rhetorical rather than transformative. This study confirms that character education is most effective when it is embedded within equitable structures and just practices, rather than delivered as isolated moral instruction [40].

Moreover, the analysis underscores that multicultural equality and justice contribute to the development of social and civic character. Students are not only shaped as morally upright individuals but also as socially conscious citizens capable of engaging constructively with diversity. The educational process becomes a space where learners practice ethical interaction, negotiate differences, and develop critical awareness of social realities. This dimension is crucial in multicultural societies, where education plays a strategic role in preventing social fragmentation and fostering cohesion. At the institutional level, the findings imply that schools must move beyond symbolic commitments to diversity and equity. Equality and justice must be systematically integrated into curriculum design, pedagogical strategies, assessment practices, and school culture. Character education cannot be separated from institutional ethics; it requires coherence between values taught and values practiced. This alignment strengthens the credibility of education as a moral enterprise and enhances its capacity to shape character sustainably [40].

The study also reveals that educators play a pivotal role in translating multicultural equality and justice into lived educational experiences. Teachers function not only as transmitters of knowledge but as moral agents who model fairness, mediate differences, and cultivate inclusive learning spaces. Their attitudes, decisions, and interactions significantly influence how students perceive and internalize values. Thus, strengthening educators' ethical awareness and reflective capacity becomes a key condition for the successful implementation of equality- and justice-oriented education.

In conclusion, the analysis affirms that multicultural equality and justice are core pillars of character education within Islamic educational thought. Their integration transforms education into a process that develops moral integrity, social sensitivity, and ethical responsibility. By embedding these principles into educational structures and practices, education fulfills its role as a transformative force capable of shaping individuals who are not only intellectually competent but also morally grounded and socially committed. This study therefore positions multicultural equality and justice as

essential foundations for building character education that is relevant, inclusive, and responsive to the complexities of contemporary multicultural societies [41].

CONCLUSION

Multicultural Islamic education is a strategic instrument in realizing equality and justice in the education system, as well as a means of shaping the character of students in a pluralistic society. Equality in Islamic education is interpreted as recognition of equal human dignity, while justice is understood as the provision of learning rights and opportunities in proportion to the needs and abilities of students. The integration of these two principles makes multicultural education not only function normatively, but also operationally in educational practice. The results of the study show that multicultural Islamic education plays an important role in internalizing character values such as tolerance, responsibility, justice, and respect for diversity. Through an inclusive and fair educational process, students are formed into individuals who have faith and piety, have noble character, and have social and national awareness. Thus, multicultural Islamic education contributes significantly to strengthening social integration and building a just, civilized, and socially just society.

Acknowledgments

We express our sincere gratitude to Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Barat for academic guidance, institutional support, and a stimulating scholarly environment. Appreciation is extended to colleagues, reviewers, and research participants whose insights enriched this study. We also thank partner institutions for facilitating academic exchange and dialogue. This research benefited from constructive discussions during seminars and doctoral forums, through interdisciplinary collaboration and sustained ethical commitment throughout the research process.

Author's Contributions

Ronaldi conceptualized the study, formulated the research design, and coordinated overall implementation. Zulpandri contributed to data organization, analytical refinement, and literature synthesis. Mahyudin Ritonga strengthened the theoretical framework and character education perspective. Julhadi conducted critical analysis and interpretation of findings. Umar Alhaji Umar provided comparative insights and international perspectives. All authors collaboratively drafted, revised, and approved the final manuscript, ensuring academic rigor, coherence, and accountability throughout the research and publication process in accordance with ethical standards and institutional responsibilities.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study. There are no financial, personal, or institutional relationships that could influence the research process or outcomes. This research received no commercial funding, and all analyses were conducted independently. Affiliations did not affect interpretation or reporting. The authors affirm transparency, integrity, and adherence to ethical and scholarly standards in conducting, reviewing, and publishing this work in line with journal policies and internationally recognized principles of responsible research practice globally.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. A. L. Ong, "Critical multiculturalism and countering cultural hegemony through children's literature," *Waikato J. Educ.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 51–65, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.15663/wje.v26i1.884>.
- [2] K. Jayadi, A. Abduh, and M. Basri, "A meta-analysis of multicultural education paradigm in Indonesia," *Heliyon*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. e08828, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e08828>.

-
- [3] A. I. Setiawan, Y. Z. Abidin, R. Rustandi, A. Sarbini, and R. Aziz, "Transforming Religious Education Through Inclusivity: How Indonesian Pesantren Cultivate Moderate Islamic Values and Da'wah Practices," *Nazhruna J. Pendidik. Islam*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 70–92, 2026, <https://doi.org/10.31538/nzh.v9i1.406>.
- [4] M. Japar, Hermanto, S. Muyaroah, H. R. Susila, and H. Alfani, "Digital Literacy-Based Multicultural Education through Civic Education in Indonesian Junior High Schools," *J. Soc. Stud. Educ. Res.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 328–349, 2023, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85180683946&partnerID=40&md5=4d8761474962f5c2effd79c55838bfc5>
- [5] R. M. Garces-Bacsal, R. Tupas, N. M. Al Owais, F. N. R. Alkaabi, K. A. Al Azzani, and M. M. K. Alketbi, "Diverse picturebooks for diverse children: a booklist of linguistic diversities and pluralities for inclusivity, belonging, and resistance," *J. Multiling. Multicult. Dev.*, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2025.2548575>.
- [6] Z. J. H. Aung, M. M. Barnes, S. Y. Yip, and E. Saito, "Creating equity for ethnically, linguistically, and religiously diverse students in school settings in the Myanmar public schools," *Pedagog. Cult. Soc.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 523–540, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2023.2246139>.
- [7] M. Pasaribu, F. Khairifa, R. Ali, A. A. Muis, and M. Ritonga, "Youth Character Building through Quality Education in Realizing Golden Indonesia 2045," *J. Ecohumanism*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 180–192, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i4.3473>.
- [8] M. Y. Feltman, "Hybridity and eclecticism in rethinking the multilingual turn in English language pedagogies," *Read. Writ. (South Africa)*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.4102/rw.v16i1.510>.
- [9] R. A. Sechandini, R. D. Ratna, Z. Zakariyah, and F. U. Na'imah, "Multicultural-Based Learning of Islamic Religious Education for the Development of Students' Social Attitudes," *At-tadzkir Islam. Educ. J.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 106–117, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.59373/attadzkir.v2i2.27>.
- [10] D. L. Stewart, "Multicultural Student Services on Campus: Building Bridges, Re-Visioning Community," *Multicult. Student Serv. Campus Build. Bridg. Re-Visioning Community*, pp. 1–323, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003446095>.
- [11] X. Song and G. McCarthy, "Mobility and Governance: Towards an Internationalised Higher Education?" *Mobil. Polit.*, vol. Part F1930, pp. 169–192, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24170-4_6.
- [12] M. N. I. Saleh, "Perceptions of pesantren leaders towards Islamic moderation approaches in combating radicalism and terrorism," *Cogent Arts Humanit.*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2025.2474826>.
- [13] T. Supriyatno and U. Ubabuddin, "Internalization of multicultural values in learning Islamic education," *Libr. Philos. Pract.*, vol. 2019, pp. 1–7, 2019, <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85089352841&partnerID=40&md5=dddc785fdcf4ed873cbc2db393890ad4>
- [14] I. S. Bessarabova, T. N. Bokova, and L. A. Rychenkova, "Goals and objectives of civic education in Russia and in the USA: The comparative analysis," *Espacios*, vol. 39, no. 38, 2018, <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85053601839&partnerID=40&md5=88b091d3827b50a0f827350e3b435e64>
- [15] C. Lee, "Teaching Through a Transformative Lens: Exploring Teachers' 'Trans' Perspective in Diverse Classrooms," *Int. J. Multicult. Educ.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 29–53, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.18251/ijme.v27i1.4677>.
- [16] H. C. Peters, T. Killian, B. Dos Santos, and W. Yang, "Anti-Oppressive Andragogy in Counselling and Psychotherapy Education: A Critical Analytic Synthesis," *Couns. Psychother. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 4, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.70072>.
- [17] I. Felsenthal and A. Agbaria, "'Justice before god': critical Islamic education based on the work of Tariq Ramadan," *Br. J. Relig. Educ.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 118–130, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01416200.2025.2480655>.
- [18] R. Almohaimeed and F. Amzah, "Exploring the socio-psychological mechanisms of global citizenship education: A bibliometric analysis of cultural adaptation and identity construction," *Environ. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 10, no. 7, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v10i7.3827>.
- [19] F. Geenen, L. Saelens, A. Van Hiel, B. Van de Putte, B. Valcke, and P. A. J. Stevens, "Unravelling classroom justice perceptions among Belgian-Moroccan students: a qualitative content analysis," *Soc. Psychol. Educ.*, vol. 28, no. 1, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-025-10098-6>.
- [20] G. Y. Koo, "A Whole Village Involved in Raising Children: Community Mobilisation and Educational Integration of Afghan Special Contributors in South Korea," *Anthropol. Middle East*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 84–101, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.3167/ame.2025.200206>.
- [21] S. R. Lyu and A. S. Henward, "Complicating Cultural Diversity in Head Start: White Educators Negotiating Multicultural Policy in Rural Appalachia," *Anthropology. Educ. Q.*, vol. 56, no. 4, 2025,
-

<https://doi.org/10.1111/aeq.70037>.

- [22] M. Mulyadi, “Penelitian Kuantitatif Dan Kualitatif Serta Pemikiran Dasar Menggabungkannya,” *J. Stud. Komun. dan Media*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 128, 2013, <https://doi.org/10.31445/jskm.2011.150106>.
- [23] A. Sholikhah, “Statistik Deskriptif Dalam Penelitian Kualitatif,” *KOMUNIKA J. Dakwah dan Komun.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 342–362, 2016, <https://doi.org/10.24090/komunika.v10i2.953>.
- [24] R. Surayya, “Pendekatan Kualitatif Dalam Penelitian Kesehatan,” *AVERROUS J. Kedokt. dan Kesehat. Malikussaleh*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 75, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.29103/averrous.v1i2.415>.
- [25] A. A. Mekarisce, “Teknik Pemeriksaan Keabsahan Data pada Penelitian Kualitatif di Bidang Kesehatan Masyarakat,” *JJurnal Ilm. Kesehat. Masy. Media Komun. Komunitas Kesehat. Masy.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 145–151, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.52022/jikm.v12i3.102>.
- [26] H. Zukriadi, Sulaiman, U., “Aneka Macam Penelitian,” *SAMBARA J. Pengabd. Kpd. Masy.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 36–46, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.58540/sambarapkm.v1i1.157>.
- [27] A. Prayogi, “Pendekatan Kualitatif dalam Ilmu Sejarah: Sebuah Telaah Konseptual,” *Hist. Madania J. Ilmu Sej.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 240–254, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.15575/hm.v5i2.15050>.
- [28] M. A. Z. Mangurun, “Neo-Orientalist Representations of Muslims in the 21st Century Literature from the Philippines and the World Senior High School Textbooks,” *3L Lang. Linguist. Lit.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 127–144, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.17576/3L-2025-3103-08>.
- [29] J. M. G. Cooper, K. M. Radliff, and J. R. Weinberg, “A National Evaluation of Social Justice and Antiracism Within School Psychology Graduate Preparation Programs,” *Sch. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 216–236, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/2372966X.2023.2301233>.
- [30] E. Odzakovic *et al.*, “International Learning Initiative Part 2: Enhancing Antiracism Awareness Among Nursing Students in Sweden and the USA,” *Scand. J. Caring Sci.*, vol. 39, no. 3, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.70067>.
- [31] C. B. Davey, K. J. D. Mulrooney, and S. E. Watt, “Exploring individual-level predictors of punitive attitudes in Australia,” *Psychol. Crime Law*, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 1121–1141, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2024.2338200>.
- [32] S. Cheng, H. Y. Tang, and H. Kim, “The Racialization Experiences of East Asian International Counseling Students,” *Couns. Educ. Superv.*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 377–387, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ceas.12343>.
- [33] B. E. Wood, “Democratic education in superdiverse schools in Aotearoa New Zealand,” *Educ. Philos. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 621–631, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2024.2360214>.
- [34] J. Shannon, H. Bayne, and I. Gonzalez, “A Community Autoethnography of Three Counselor Educators Teaching on Race,” *Couns. Educ. Superv.*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 354–365, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ceas.12348>.
- [35] E. Rahmawati, M. Asy’arie, S. A. Aryani, and Waston, “Development of Multiculturalism Values in Religious Education and Its Implications for Multicultural and Democratic Student Ethics,” *Rev. Gest. Soc. e Ambient.*, vol. 18, no. 6, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n6-009>.
- [36] H. W. Ahn, H. Lee, and B. An, “Fostering Inclusivity: The Impact of Social Justice Orientation on Attitudes Toward Immigrants in South Korea,” *Asia-Pacific Educ. Res.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1401–1412, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-024-00953-z>.
- [37] Y. Zhai and D. J. Prescod, “Promoting multicultural and social justice counselling competency of international counselling students,” *Couns. Psychother. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12803>.
- [38] P. K. Nitiasih, N. W. S. Mahayanti, I. N. Jampel, N. M. Asril, I. D. A. M. Budhyani, and A. Nakaya, “Future development of peace education in Bali: Lessons from a critical analysis of the peace education curricula of Hiroshima,” *Edelweiss Appl. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 37–50, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i2.4427>.
- [39] A. Gozali, “Multicultural Education in the perspective of the Qur’an and Hadith: Concepts and Implementation,” *Pedagog. J. Pendidik.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 13–21, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.21070/pedagogia.v13i1.1570>.
- [40] B. Salazar, A. E. Hunter, B. Kirshner, and S. Lopez, “Healing justice in multicultural counseling,” *J. Multicult. Couns. Devel.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 22–34, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmcd.12311>.
- [41] U. H. Muzayanah, Maskuri, and D. Ghony, “The Harmonization of multicultural Islamic education values in diversity: A case from Indonesia,” *Edelweiss Appl. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1212–1226, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i3.5468>.